

FORMALITY OF CERTAIN CW COMPLEXES AND APPLICATIONS TO SCHUBERT VARIETIES AND TORUS MANIFOLDS

PRATEEP CHAKRABORTY AND PARAMESWARAN SANKARAN

Dedicated to Prof. C. S. Seshadri on the occasion of his eightieth birthday

ABSTRACT. Let X be a path connected topological space having the homotopy type of a CW complex. We show that X is formal if $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by $H^k(X; \mathbb{Q})$ for some even integer $k \geq 2$ where $\dim H^k(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. As an application we show that any union of Schubert varieties in a generalized complex flag variety G/B , where G is a complex semisimple Lie group and B , a Borel subgroup is formal. The same result is also obtained for Schubert ‘varieties’ in quaternionic complete flag manifolds. Also we obtain a new proof of a result of Panov and Ray that any torus manifold over a homology polytope where the torus action is locally standard is formal.

1. INTRODUCTION

Any path connected topological space X has a functorial differential graded commutative algebra (dgca) $A_{\text{PL}}(X)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, a *minimal model* $(\mathcal{M}_X, d)$ (which is a dgca) and a dgca algebra morphism $\rho_X : \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow A_{\text{PL}}(X)$ such that ρ_X induces isomorphism in cohomology. The minimal model is unique up to isomorphism. The space X is called *formal* if there exists a dgca morphism $(\mathcal{M}_X, d) \rightarrow (H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}), 0)$ which induces isomorphism in cohomology. If X is a simply-connected space, its rational homotopy type is determined by $\mathcal{M}_X$. In case X is formal, $\mathcal{M}_X$ is determined by $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ and so the rational homotopy type of X is a formal consequence of its cohomology algebra.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a path connected topological space having the homotopy type of a CW complex. Suppose that there exists an integer $k \geq 1$ such that $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is generated as an algebra by $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ and that $\dim H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$. Then X is formal.*

When X is a CW complex with only even dimensional cells, we obtain a stronger result. See Theorem 2.6.

Denote by $\mathbb{F}$ the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers or the division ring $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions. Let $\mathbb{F}\text{Flag}_{n,r}$ be the $\mathbb{F}$ -flag manifold $U_{\mathbb{F}}(n)/((U_{\mathbb{F}}(1))^r \times U_{\mathbb{F}}(n-r))$ where $U_{\mathbb{F}}(n)$ denotes the unitary group $U(n)$ or the symplectic group $Sp(n)$ according as $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$ respectively. One has the Schubert cell decomposition of $\mathbb{F}\text{Flag}_{n,r}$; the closures of the cells are known as Schubert varieties or Schubert cycles.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 55P62, secondary: 14M15, 14M25.

Key words and phrases: Rational homotopy theory, formality, flag manifolds, Schubert varieties, complete toric varieties, quasi-toric manifolds, torus manifolds.

Suppose that G is a connected complex semisimple Lie group and $B \subset G$ a Borel subgroup. The homogeneous space G/B is a complex projective variety which admits an *algebraic* cell decomposition resulting in a CW structure with cells only in even (real) dimensions. Their closures are again known as Schubert varieties. When $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ the variety G/B is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{Flag}_{n,n-1}$ defined above.

Theorem 1.2. *Any union of Schubert varieties in G/B or in $\mathbb{F}\mathrm{Flag}_{n,r}$, $1 \leq r < n$, is formal where $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$.*

A compact connected smooth $2n$ -dimensional orientable manifold which is acted on effectively by an n -dimensional torus $T \cong (\mathbb{S}^1)^n$ (with additional orientation data) is called a torus manifold. We assume that the T -action M is *locally standard*. This implies that the quotient space $M/T = P$ is a manifold with corners, modeled on open sets in $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$. We say that M is *over* P . When P is a simple polytope, that is, P is a convex polytope in which exactly n codimension one faces of P meet at each vertex, then the manifold M is called a *quasi-toric manifold*. In general P need not even be a polytope. We shall be concerned with the case when P is a *homology polytope*. The notion of quasi-toric manifolds is due to M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz [4] who called them ‘toric manifolds’. (The appellation quasi-toric manifolds is due to [3] so as to distinguish them from the underlying smooth manifold of a non-singular toric variety, which are often referred to as toric manifolds.) Torus manifolds were introduced by Hattori and Masuda [10] as a generalization of the notion of *unitary toric manifolds*, which are torus manifolds equipped with a T -invariant unitary structure, due to Masuda [13].

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1 we obtain a new proof of the following.

Theorem 1.3. ([18]) *Any torus manifold M with locally standard action over a homology polytope is formal. In particular, if M is simply connected its rational homotopy type is determined by its rational cohomology algebra.*

The main tool needed to obtain the above result is a criterion for the formality of cell-attachment. Suppose that X is a finite CW complex which is formal and that $Y := X \cup_{\alpha} e^n$ is obtained by attaching a cell via a map $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow X$. Note that the rational homotopy type of Y depends only on the $[\alpha] \in \pi_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$. If $[\alpha] = 0$ in $\pi_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, then Y has the same rational homotopy type as $X \vee \mathbb{S}^n$ and hence is formal. We have the following result. Let $\eta : \pi_k^{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \rightarrow H_k(X; \mathbb{Q})$ denote the Hurewicz homomorphism. Let $j : Y \hookrightarrow (Y, X)$ denote the inclusion map. Denote by $\tilde{u}$ the generator $[e^n] \in H^n(Y, X; \mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that X is a simply connected CW complex and that $Y = X \cup_{\alpha} e^n$. We keep the above notations. Suppose that $\eta([\alpha]) = 0$. (i) If $[\alpha] \in \pi_{n-1}(X)$ is a torsion element then $j^*(\tilde{u})$ is indecomposable and Y is formal. (ii) Let $[\alpha] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$. Then Y is formal if and only if $j^*(\tilde{u}) =: u$ is decomposable in $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Q})$.*

When M is a quasi-toric manifold, Theorem 1.3 was proved by Panov and Ray [18] using completely different techniques. They remark that their proof also holds for the class of torus manifolds with locally standard action over homology polytopes.

The paper is organized as follows. We recall basic notions of rational homotopy theory in §2.1. Theorem 1.4 is proved in §2.5. We prove Theorem 1.1 in §2.6. Theorem 1.2 is proved in §3.1. In §3.2 we recall the definition of torus manifolds and describe their cohomology algebra and prove Theorem 1.3.

Throughout this paper $H^*(X)$ denotes the singular cohomology of X with $\mathbb{Q}$ -coefficients. All differential graded commutative algebras will be over $\mathbb{Q}$.

2. MINIMAL MODELS AND FORMALITY

In this section we recall the notion of a Sullivan algebra, the Sullivan model for a cell attachment, and the stepwise construction of the minimal Sullivan model for a differential graded commutative cochain algebra. We also prove Theorem 1.4. The reader is referred to [7] for a comprehensive treatment of rational homotopy theory.

2.1. Sullivan algebra. A differential graded commutative algebra (abbreviated *dgca*) (M, d) is called a *Sullivan algebra* if the following hold: (i) *Freeness*: There exists a graded $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space $V = \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} V^q$ such that M is freely generated by V , that is, $M = \Lambda V := S^*(V^{\text{even}}) \otimes E^*(V^{\text{odd}})$ where $V^{\text{even}} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} V^{2q}$, $V^{\text{odd}} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 1} V^{2q-1}$. Here $S^*(V)$ denotes the symmetric algebra on V and $E^*(V)$ denotes the exterior algebra on V . (ii) *Nilpotence*: There is a well-ordering on a basis $\{v_\alpha\}$ of V consisting of homogeneous elements such that for each α , $d(v_\alpha)$ is a polynomial in the $v_\beta, \beta < \alpha$.

The nilpotence condition can be restated as follows: There is an increasing filtration $V = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} V(k)$, such that $d(V(k)) \subset \Lambda(V(k))$ and there exists a subspace $V_k \subset V(k)$ such that $d(V_k) \subset \Lambda(V(k-1))$ and $\Lambda(V(k)) = \Lambda(V_k) \otimes \Lambda(V(k-1))$. This filtration is referred to as *the lower filtration* of M .

A *Sullivan model* (M, d) for a dgca (A, d) is a Sullivan algebra (M, d) together with a dgca morphism $f : M \rightarrow A$ which is a quasi-isomorphism. Thus, f is a dgca morphism which induces an isomorphism $f^* : H^*(M, d) \rightarrow H^*(A, d)$. A Sullivan model (M, d) is called a *minimal model* if $d(M) \subset M^+ \cdot M^+$, the ideal of decomposable elements. A dgc algebra A with $H^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}$ has a unique minimal model up to isomorphism. Such a dgc algebra is called *formal* if there exists a dgc morphism $\Phi : (\mathcal{M}_A, d) \rightarrow (H^*(A), 0)$ which is a quasi-isomorphism where $\mathcal{M}_A$ denotes the minimal model of A .

Suppose that X is a path connected topological space. A Sullivan model (resp. minimal model) for X is by definition a Sullivan model (resp. minimal model) for $A_{\text{PL}}(X)$. Any path connected space has a minimal Sullivan model $\mathcal{M}_X$. See [7, Proposition 12.1]. Minimal models of X are unique up to isomorphism provided $H^1(X) = 0$. If X is simply connected, then $\text{Hom}(\pi_k(X), \mathbb{Q}) \cong V^k$ where $\mathcal{M}_X = \Lambda(V)$, $V = \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} V^k$ denotes the minimal model of X . If X and Y are simply connected and have the same rational homotopy type, then their minimal models are isomorphic (as dgc algebras). In fact we have a bijection between the collection of all the rational homotopy types of simply connected spaces and the collection of all isomorphism classes of minimal Sullivan algebras over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that X and Y are simply connected and that their rational cohomology

algebras are of finite type. Then we have an isomorphism of sets: $[X_0, Y_0] \rightarrow [\mathcal{M}_Y, \mathcal{M}_X]$ where X_0 denotes the rationalization of X , $[A, B]$ denotes the cochain homotopy classes of dgca morphisms $A \rightarrow B$ and $\mathcal{M}_X := \mathcal{M}_{A_{\text{PL}}(X)}$ is the minimal model of X . Observe that $\mathcal{M}_X$ and $\mathcal{M}_{X_0}$ are naturally isomorphic since $X \subset X_0$ is a rational homotopy equivalence. The isomorphism is obtained by sending $[f] \in [X_0, Y_0]$ to the cochain homotopy class of any lift $\theta : \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ of $A_{\text{PL}}(f) : A_{\text{PL}}(Y_0) \rightarrow A_{\text{PL}}(X_0)$ so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_Y & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathcal{M}_X \\ m_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow m_X \\ A_{\text{PL}}(Y_0) & \xrightarrow{A_{\text{PL}}(f)} & A_{\text{PL}}(X_0). \end{array}$$

Notations. If V is a graded vector space, then $V^{\leq k}$ (resp. $V^{< k}$) denotes the subspace consisting of elements of degree atmost k (resp. k). If A is a differential graded algebra, $A^{\leq q}$ (resp. $A^{< k}$) denotes the differential graded subalgebra of A generated by elements of degree atmost (resp. less than) k .

If A is a dgc algebra, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(A)$ (or simply $\mathcal{D}$ if A is clear from the context) the ideal of decomposable elements in A . By abuse of notation we write $A^n/\mathcal{D}$ to mean $A^n/\mathcal{D} \cap A^n \subset A/\mathcal{D}$.

Two dgc algebras (A, d) and (B, d) are quasi-isomorphic if there is a finite sequence of dgc morphisms $f := \{f_i\}$ where $A_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} A_1 \xleftarrow{f_1} A_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \dots \xleftarrow{f_{2n-1}} A_{2n}$ with $(A_0, d) = (A, d)$, $(A_{2n}, d) = (B, d)$ such that induced morphisms in cohomology are all isomorphisms. In this case we write $(A, d) \xleftrightarrow{f} (B, d)$ or $(A, d) \simeq (B, d)$. We denote by $f^* : H^*(A, d) \rightarrow H^*(B, d)$ the composition of isomorphisms $(f_{2n-1}^*)^{-1} \dots \circ f_0^*$.

2.2. Construction of minimal model. We briefly recall the construction of the minimal model $(\mathcal{M}_A, d)$ for a dgca (A, d) with $H^0(A, d) = \mathbb{Q}$, in the special case when $H^1(A, d) = 0$. See [7] for details.

Choose cocycles $z_\alpha \in A^2, \alpha \in J_2$, so that the cohomology classes $[z_\alpha] \in H^2(A)$ form a $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis. Let $V^2 \subset A^2$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$ -span of $\{z_\alpha\}, \alpha \in J_2$. Then the inclusion map $V^2 \rightarrow A$ extends to a dgca morphism $m_2 : (\Lambda V^2, 0) \rightarrow (A, d)$ inducing an isomorphism in degree 2. Since $H^1(A) = 0$, and $H^3(\Lambda V^2) = 0$ we see that m_2 induces isomorphism in degree ≤ 2 and a monomorphism in degree 3.

Inductively assume that we have constructed $V^j, j \leq k$, and a dgca morphism $m_k : (\Lambda(\oplus_{j \leq k} V^j), d) \rightarrow (A, d)$ which induces isomorphism in degree $\leq k$ and a monomorphism in degree $k+1$. We now explain how to construct V^{k+1} and m_{k+1} .

Choose cocycles $z_\alpha \in A^{k+1}, \alpha \in J_{k+1}$, such that the images of the $[z_\alpha] \in H^{k+1}(A)$ form a $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for the cokernel of $H^{k+1}(\Lambda(\oplus_{j \leq k} V^j), d) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(A)$. Also choose cocycles $u_\beta \in \Lambda(\oplus_{j \leq k} V^j)^{k+2}, \beta \in J'_{k+1}$, so that the $[u_\beta] \in H^{k+2}(\Lambda(\oplus_{j \leq k} V^j), d)$ form a $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for the kernel of $H^{k+2}(m_k)$. Choose $w_\beta \in A^{k+1}$ such that $m_k(u_\beta) = dw_\beta$.

Set $V^{k+1} := \oplus_{\alpha \in J_{k+1}} \mathbb{Q}z_\alpha \oplus (\oplus_{\beta \in J'_{k+1}} \mathbb{Q}v_\beta)$ and extend the derivation on $\Lambda(V^{\leq k})$ to a derivation on $\Lambda(V^{\leq k+1})$ by setting $dz_\alpha = 0, dv_\beta = u_\beta$. The morphism m_k is extended to

$\Lambda(V^{\leq k+1})$ by setting $m_{k+1}(z_\alpha) = z_\alpha, \alpha \in J_{k+1}$, and $m_{k+1}(v_\beta) = w_\beta, \beta \in J'_{k+1}$. Then m_{k+1} induces isomorphism in degree $\leq k+1$ and a monomorphism in degree $k+2$. The required minimal model $(\mathcal{M}_A, d)$ is $(\Lambda V, d)$ with $V := \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} V^k$ and the morphism $m : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow A$ is defined by $m|_{V^k} = m_k|_{V^k}, k \geq 2$.

Suppose that A is formal, say, $\phi : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow H^*(A)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. We claim that ϕ may be chosen so that $\phi(z_\alpha) = [z_\alpha]$ for all $\alpha \in J_k, k \geq 2$ and $\phi(v_\beta) = 0$. To see this, start with any dgca morphism $\theta : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{M}_A)$ which induces an isomorphism $H^*(\mathcal{M}_A) \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{M}_A)$. Then the composition $(\theta^*)^{-1} \circ \theta : \mathcal{M}_A \rightarrow H^*(\mathcal{M}_A)$ induces identity map in cohomology. Then $\phi = m^* \circ (\theta^*)^{-1} \circ \theta$ satisfies our requirements.

2.3. Minimal models and inverse limits. Let $f_n : A \rightarrow A_n, f_{m,n} : A_n \rightarrow A_m, n \geq m \geq 1$ be dgca morphisms such that $f_{n,n} = id$ and $f_{m,n} \circ f_n = f_m$. Suppose that $f_m^* : H^q(A) \rightarrow H^q(A_m), f_{m,n}^* : H^q(A_n) \rightarrow H^q(A_m)$ are isomorphisms for $q \leq m$. We assume that $H^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}, H^1(A) = 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{M} = \Lambda(V), V = \bigoplus_{q \geq 2} V^q$, the minimal model of A .

Let $z_\alpha, \alpha \in J_q, v_\beta, u_\beta, w_\beta, \beta \in J'_q$ have the same meaning as in the above description of V^q . The quasi-isomorphism $m : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ is chosen to be as described above. We set $z_{n,\alpha} := f_n(z_\alpha) \in A_n, \alpha \in J_q$, for $q \leq n$ and $w_{n,\beta} := f_n(w_\beta), \beta \in J'_q$ for all $q \leq n-1$. Then $f_{m,n}(z_{n,\alpha}) = z_{m,\alpha}$ and $f_{m,n}(w_{n,\beta}) = w_{m,\beta}$. Moreover, setting $V_n^q := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_q} \mathbb{Q} z_{n,\alpha} \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in J'_q} \mathbb{Q} v_{n,\beta}, q \leq n$, we obtain a dgca $\Lambda(\bigoplus_{2 \leq q \leq n} V_n^q)$ where the differential is defined as $dz_{n,\alpha} = 0, dv_{n,\beta} = u_{n,\beta}$. Also, we have a dgca morphism $\mu_n : \Lambda(\bigoplus_{2 \leq q \leq n} V_n^q) \rightarrow A_n$ defined as $\mu_n(z_{n,\alpha}) = z_{n,\alpha} = f_n \circ m(z_\alpha)$ and $\mu_n(v_{n,\beta}) = w_{n,\beta}$. Since $f_n^* : H^q(A) \rightarrow H^q(A_n)$ is an isomorphism for $q \leq n$, it follows that μ_n induces isomorphism in dimensions $q < n$. Denoting the minimal model of A_n by $\mathcal{M}_n$, it is clear from the stepwise construction of $\mathcal{M}_n$ that $\mathcal{M}^{<n} = \Lambda(\bigoplus_{q < n} V_{n,q})$ and that the quasi-isomorphism $m_n : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow A_n$ may be chosen to agree with μ_n on $\mathcal{M}_n^{<n}$. Thus we have a commuting diagram of dgca morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_n} & \mathcal{M}_n \\ m \downarrow & & \downarrow m_n \\ A & \xrightarrow{f_n} & A_n \end{array}$$

in which $\Phi_n|_{V^q}$ is an isomorphism for $q < n$ where $z_\alpha \mapsto z_{n,\alpha}, \alpha \in J_q$, and $v_\beta \mapsto v_{n,\beta}, \beta \in J'_q$. Furthermore when $m \leq n$ there are dgca morphism $\Phi_{m,n} : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_m$ covering $f_{m,n} : A_n \rightarrow A_m$ such that $\Phi_{m,n} \circ \Phi_n|_{V^q} = \Phi_m|_{V^q}$ for $q < m \leq n$ and $\Phi_n|_{\mathcal{M}^{<n}}, \Phi_{m,n}|_{\mathcal{M}_n^{<m}}$ are isomorphisms of dgc algebras onto $\mathcal{M}_n^{<n}$ and $\mathcal{M}_m^{<m}$ respectively. We denote by $\Phi_{m,n}^{\leq q}$ the dgca morphism $\Phi_{m,n}|_{\mathcal{M}_n^{\leq q}} : \mathcal{M}_n^{\leq q} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_m^{\leq q}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $A, \{A_n, f_{m,n}\}, \{\mathcal{M}_n, \Phi_{m,n}\}$ be as above. Suppose that $H^0(A) = \mathbb{Q}, H^1(A) = 0$. Then: (i) The minimal model $\mathcal{M}$ is the inverse limit of $\{\mathcal{M}_n, \Phi_{m,n}\}$. (ii) If each A_n is formal, then so is A .*

Proof. (i) From the above discussion it is clear that $\mathcal{M}^{\leq q}$ is isomorphic to the inverse limit of $\{\mathcal{M}_n^{\leq q}, \Phi_{m,n}^{\leq q}\}$ as a dgc algebra. It follows that $\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to the inverse limit of $\{\mathcal{M}_n, \Phi_{m,n}\}$.

(ii) Choose dgca morphisms $\phi_n : \mathcal{M}_n \rightarrow H^*(A_n)$ so that ϕ_n induces isomorphism in cohomology and $\phi_n(z_{n,\alpha}) = [z_{n,\alpha}]$, $\phi_n(v_\beta) = 0$ for $\alpha \in J_q, \beta \in J'_q, q < n$. (See §2.2.) Then we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_n & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{m,n}} & \mathcal{M}_m \\ \phi_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_m \\ H^*(A_n) & \xrightarrow{f_{m,n}^*} & H^*(A_m) \end{array}$$

which commutes for all $q < m \leq n$. In view of the assumption that $f_n^* : H^q(A) \rightarrow H^q(A_n)$ and $f_{m,n}^* : H^q(A_n) \rightarrow H^q(A_m)$ are isomorphisms for $q \leq m$, we see that the first assertion of the lemma implies the second. $\square$

Remark 2.2. One has the following description of $V_0 = V(0)$ and $V_1 \subset V(1)$ in the case when A is the cohomology algebra of a space (with $d = 0$). We have $V(0) \cap V^k = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J_k} \mathbb{Q}z_\alpha \subset V^k$. Note that $d = 0$ on $V(0)$ and hence on $\Lambda(V(0))$. It is evident that the inclusion $V(0) \rightarrow A$ yields a dgca morphism $\Lambda(V(0)) \rightarrow A$ which induces a surjection $H^*(\Lambda(V(0))) \rightarrow H^*(A)$. Let $K = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} K^k$ denote its kernel. Then $K^k = 0, k \leq 3$. We have $V_1 \cap V^k \cong K^{k+1} / (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} K^i \cdot \Lambda^{k+1-i}(V(0)))$. See [9, §3] for detailed discussion and a complete description of V_k for all k .

2.4. A model for cell attachment. Let X be a simply connected topological space. Let $Y = X \cup_\alpha e^n$ where $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow X$ represents an element $[\alpha] \in \pi_{n-1}(X)$. We assume that $n \geq 2$ so that Y is also simply connected. We recall the following proposition which will play a crucial role in our proofs. Let $m_X : (\mathcal{M}_X, d) \rightarrow (A_{PL}(X), d)$ be a minimal Sullivan model for X . Suppose that $\mathcal{M}_X = \Lambda(V)$ so that $V = \bigoplus_{k \geq 2} V^k$. (Note that $V^1 = 0$ since X is simply connected.) Recall that $V^k \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_k(X), \mathbb{Q})$; thus we have the pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : V^k \times \pi_k^\mathbb{Q}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ defined by evaluation. If $n = 2$, then $[\alpha] = 0$ as X is simply connected. It follows that $Y \simeq X \vee \mathbb{S}^2$ which is formal.

Let $n \geq 3$. Let $M_\alpha = \Lambda(V_\alpha)$ be the dgca defined as follows: $V_\alpha := V \oplus \mathbb{Q}u_\alpha$, $\deg(u_\alpha) = n$, $u_\alpha^2 = u_\alpha \cdot v = 0, v \in V$, with differential d_α where $d_\alpha(u_\alpha) = 0$ and $d_\alpha(v) = dv + \langle v, \alpha \rangle u_\alpha, v \in V$.

Proposition 2.3. *The dgca (M_α, d_α) defined above is a model for $Y = X \cup_\alpha e^n$. Moreover, one has the following diagram of dgc algebras in which the rows are exact and the vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphism:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & \mathbb{Q}u_\alpha & \hookrightarrow & M_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathcal{M}_X & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \Phi & \\ 0 \rightarrow & A_{PL}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{A_{PL}(j)} & A_{PL}(Y) & \xrightarrow{A_{PL}(i)} & A_{PL}(X) & \rightarrow 0 \\ & \downarrow \simeq & & & & & \\ & A_{PL}(D^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) & & & & & \end{array}$$

where $i : X \hookrightarrow Y$ and $j : Y \hookrightarrow (Y, X)$ are inclusions and λ is induced by projection $V_\alpha \rightarrow V$. The induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 \rightarrow & \mathbb{Q}u_\alpha & \hookrightarrow & H^*(M_\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\lambda^*} & H^*(\mathcal{M}_X) & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \mu^* & & \downarrow \Phi^* & \\
 0 \rightarrow & H^*(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & H^*(Y) & \xrightarrow{i^*} & H^*(X) & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow \cong & & & & & \\
 & H^*(D^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) & & & & &
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

is commutative with exact rows in which the vertical arrows are all isomorphisms. $\square$

We refer the reader to [7, Chapter 13] for a proof.

Remark 2.4. The dgca M_α is not a minimal model for Y most often. Indeed it is not free except in the case $V = 0$ and n odd, since $u_\alpha^2 = 0$ and the relation $u_\alpha.v = 0$ holds for $v \in V$.

2.5. Proof of Theorem 1.4. We keep the notations and set-up of §2.4. It is understood that a base point for X is chosen and fixed and it serves as the point for $Y = X \cup_\alpha e^n$ as well; the homotopy groups are defined with respect to this choice and will be suppressed in the notation $\pi_k(X)$, etc. Recall that i (resp. j) denotes the inclusion $X \hookrightarrow Y$ (resp. $Y \hookrightarrow (Y, X)$). Also V and W are graded vector spaces so that $\mathcal{M}_X = \Lambda(V)$ and $\mathcal{M}_Y = \Lambda(W)$. One has a morphism of dgca $\phi : \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ which is a lift of $A_{\text{PL}}(i) : A_{\text{PL}}(Y) \rightarrow A_{\text{PL}}(X)$. The linear part $Q(\phi) : W \rightarrow V$ of ϕ is defined by the requirement that $\phi(w) - Q(\phi(w)) \in \Lambda^{\geq 2}V$; it induces $i^* : \text{Hom}(\pi_k(Y), \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\pi_k(X), \mathbb{Q})$ for all k under the isomorphisms $V^k \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_k(X), \mathbb{Q})$ and $W^k \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_k(Y), \mathbb{Q})$.

Recall that X is simply connected. By the relative Hurewicz theorem, we obtain that $\eta : \pi_n(Y, X) \cong H_n(Y, X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The group $\pi_n(Y, X) = \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the homotopy class of the characteristic map $\tilde{\alpha} : (D^n, \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \rightarrow (Y, X)$ of the cell e_α . The homomorphism $\partial : \pi_n(Y, X) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}(X)$ maps $[\tilde{\alpha}]$ to $[\alpha]$. Denoting by $\pi_k^\mathbb{Q}$ the rational homotopy group functor $\pi_k(-) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, we have the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \pi_n^\mathbb{Q}(Y) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & \pi_n^\mathbb{Q}(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & \pi_{n-1}^\mathbb{Q}(X) \\
 \eta \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta \\
 H_n(X) & \rightarrow & H_n(Y) & \xrightarrow{j_*} & H_n(Y, X) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_{n-1}(X).
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

where η denotes the Hurewicz homomorphism, with the middle one being an isomorphism.

Suppose that $\eta([\alpha]) \neq 0$. Then $\partial(\eta[\tilde{\alpha}]) = \eta([\alpha]) \neq 0$ and since $H_n(Y, X) \cong \mathbb{Q}$, we conclude that $j_* = 0$ and $i_* : H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(Y)$ is an isomorphism since $H_{n+1}(Y, X) = 0$. Therefore $i^* : H^n(Y) \rightarrow H^n(X)$ is also an isomorphism.

Suppose that $\eta([\alpha]) = 0$. This happens, for example, when α is a torsion element or when $H_{n-1}(X) = 0$. (However $\eta[\alpha] = 0$ does not imply that α is of finite order. For example, one can choose α to be an element of infinite order in $\pi_{4m-1}(\mathbb{S}^{2m})$.) There exists an element $\gamma \in H_n(Y)$ such that $j_*(\gamma) = \eta([\tilde{\alpha}])$. Let $\tilde{u}$ denote the generator of $H^n(Y, X) = \text{Hom}(H_n(Y, X), \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\langle \tilde{u}, \eta([\tilde{\alpha}]) \rangle = 1$. Then $j^*(\tilde{u}) =: u$ is a non-zero element of $H^n(Y)$ and we have $\langle u, \gamma \rangle = 1$. Therefore, using the exact

sequence $H^{n-1}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^{n-1}(Y) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^{n-1}(X) \rightarrow H^n(Y, X) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^n(Y) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^n(X) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(Y, X) = 0$, we have

$$H^n(Y) \cong \begin{cases} H^n(X) \oplus \mathbb{Q}u & \text{if } \eta([\alpha]) = 0, \\ H^n(X) & \text{if } \eta([\alpha]) \neq 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and

$$H^{n-1}(X) \cong \begin{cases} H^{n-1}(Y) & \text{if } \eta([\alpha]) = 0, \\ H^{n-1}(Y) \oplus \mathbb{Q}\tilde{u} & \text{if } \eta([\alpha]) \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Since $\text{Hom}(\pi_{n-1}(X), \mathbb{Q}) \cong V^{n-1}$, using the exactness of the sequence $\pi_n^{\mathbb{Q}}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}(Y) \rightarrow \pi_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}(Y, X) = 0$ we see that

$$V^{n-1} \cong \begin{cases} W^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } [\alpha] \neq 0, \\ W^{n-1} & \text{if } [\alpha] = 0, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

via the restriction of $Q(\phi)$.

Summarizing the above discussion we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.5. (i) Suppose that $\eta[\alpha] = 0$. Then $j^*(\tilde{u}) = u \neq 0$ and $H^n(Y) \cong H^n(X) \oplus \mathbb{Q}u$, $H^k(Y) \cong H^k(X)$, $k \neq n$. If $[\alpha] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{n-1}^{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$, then $V^{n-1} \cong W^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$.
(ii) Suppose that $\eta[\alpha] \neq 0$. Then $j^*(\tilde{u}) = 0$ and $H^k(Y) \cong H^k(X)$, $k \neq n-1$, $H^{n-1}(X) \cong H^{n-1}(Y) \oplus \mathbb{Q}[\tilde{u}]$. Moreover $V^{n-1} \cong W^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$. $\square$

We now establish Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. (i) If $[\alpha] \in \pi_{n-1}(X)$ is a torsion element then Y is rational homotopically equivalent to $X_0 \vee \mathbb{S}^n$. Hence Y is formal.

(ii) Assume that $[\alpha] \in \pi_{n-1}(X)$ is of infinite order and that $j^*(\tilde{u}) = u$ is decomposable. Since $\eta([\alpha]) = 0$, we have $u \neq 0$. By Lemma 2.5, $i^* : H^k(Y) \rightarrow H^k(X)$ is an isomorphism if $k \neq n$ and is a surjection when $k = n$. Choose a splitting $\theta : H^n(X) \rightarrow H^*(Y)$ of i^* .

Write $u = P(\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_r)$ with $\bar{v}_q \in H^{<n}(Y) \cong H^{<n}(X)$ where $\bar{v}_q$ are indecomposable elements. Since $H^*(\mathcal{M}_X) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is onto, we choose cocycles $v_q \in \mathcal{M}_X$ so that $v_q \mapsto \bar{v}_q$. We set $w = P(v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathcal{M}_X$. Since X is formal we have a quasi-isomorphism $\Phi : (\mathcal{M}_X, d) \rightarrow (H^*(X), 0)$. Since $i^*(u) = 0$ we have $\Phi(P(v_1, \dots, v_r)) = 0$ in $H^n(X)$. That is, $P(v_1, \dots, v_r) =: w \in \ker(\Phi)$. Note that $w \in \mathcal{M}_X$ is a cocycle. Since Φ^* is a monomorphism, $w = d(v_\alpha)$ for some $v_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_X$. We claim that $\langle v_\alpha, [\alpha] \rangle \neq 0$. Indeed, by Proposition 2.3, $\mu : (M_\alpha, d_\alpha) \leftrightarrow A_{\text{PL}}(Y)$ is a quasi-isomorphism and by the commutativity of the diagram (1), we have $\mu^*([w]) = P(\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_r) = u \in H^n(Y)$ is non-zero. So $[w] \neq 0$ in $H^n(M_\alpha)$. If $\langle v_\alpha, [\alpha] \rangle = 0$, then $d_\alpha(v_\alpha) = d(v_\alpha) = w$, whence $\mu^*([w]) = 0$ in $H^n(M_\alpha)$, a contradiction. Therefore $\langle v_\alpha, [\alpha] \rangle \neq 0$. Now this implies that $v_\alpha \notin Q(\phi)(W)$ because, for any $w' \in Q(\phi)(W)$ we have $\langle Q(\phi)(w'), \alpha \rangle = \langle w', \pi_*(i)(\alpha) \rangle = \langle w', 0 \rangle = 0$.

Define a vector space homomorphism $\psi : V_\alpha \rightarrow H^*(Y)$ as follows:

$$\psi(v) = \begin{cases} (i^*)^{-1} \circ \Phi(v) & \text{if } v \in V^k, \quad k \neq n, \\ \theta(\Phi(v)) & \text{if } v \in V^n, \\ -u/\langle v_\alpha, [\alpha] \rangle & \text{if } v = u_\alpha. \end{cases}$$

This extends to a homomorphism, again denoted ψ , of the graded commutative algebra M_α because the relations $u^2 = 0, u.z = 0$ for all $z \in H^*(Y)$ hold. (These relations follow from the observation that for $z \in H^k(Y)$, the composition $H^n(Y, X) \xrightarrow{\cup z} H^{n+k}(Y, X) \xrightarrow{j^*} H^{n+k}(Y)$ maps $\tilde{u}$ to $u.z$ and that $H^{n+k}(Y, X) = 0$ if $k > 0$.) Note that $\psi(w) = u$.

We claim that ψ is a dgca morphism, that is, $\psi \circ d_\alpha = 0$. Clearly $\psi(d_\alpha(u_\alpha)) = \psi(0) = 0$. Let $v \in V^k$. Define $v_0 \in V^k$ by the relation $v = v_0 + rv_\alpha$ for a suitable $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ so that $\langle v_0, [\alpha] \rangle = 0$. (Note that $v_0 = v$ if $k \neq n-1$.) Then $d_\alpha(v) = d(v_0) + rw + r\langle v_\alpha, [\alpha] \rangle u_\alpha$. So $\psi(d_\alpha(v)) = \psi(d(v_0)) + ru - ru = (i^*)^{-1}(\Phi(d(v_0))) = 0$ since $\psi(w) = u$ and since $\Phi \circ d = 0$ as Φ is a dgca-morphism. Finally note that $\psi^* : H^*(M_\alpha, d_\alpha) \rightarrow H^*(Y)$ is an isomorphism since u is in the image and Φ^* is an isomorphism. Hence ψ is a quasi-isomorphism and so Y is formal.

To prove the converse part assume that $H^1(Y) = 0$. Let $[\alpha] \neq 0$ in $\pi_{n-1}^\mathbb{Q}(X)$. Assume that $j^*(\tilde{u}) = u$ is *not* decomposable, and that Y is formal. We shall arrive at a contradiction. Recall that by Proposition 2.3, $\mu : M_\alpha \leftrightarrow A_{\text{PL}}(Y)$ is a quasi-isomorphism.

Let $\nu : \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow M_\alpha$ and $\Psi : \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow H^*(Y)$ be quasi-isomorphisms so that $\mu^* \circ \nu^* = \Psi^*$. Let $\lambda : M_\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ be the dgca morphism considered in Proposition 2.3. Then $\phi = \lambda \circ \nu : \mathcal{M}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$ is a lift of $A_{\text{PL}}(i) : A_{\text{PL}}(Y) \rightarrow A_{\text{PL}}(X)$ and induces $i^* : H^*(Y) \rightarrow H^*(X)$. From (5), we have an isomorphism $V^{n-1} \cong W^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ given by $Q(\phi)$. Also $V^k \cong W^k$ if $k \leq n-2$.

Consider the dgca morphism $\iota : \Lambda(W^{\leq n-1}) \rightarrow M_\alpha$. Then ι^* is an isomorphism in dimension $\leq n-2$ and the cokernel of $\iota^* : H^n(\Lambda(W^{\leq n-1})) \rightarrow H^n(M_\alpha) \cong H^n(Y)$ is isomorphic to $\ker(d_Y) \cap W^n$. Since $[dv_\alpha] = u$ and since $dv_\alpha \in \Lambda(V^{\leq n-2}) = \Lambda(W^{\leq n-2})$, we see that u belongs to the image of (ι^*) . Since u is indecomposable we see that $\dim(\text{coker}(\iota^*)) < \dim(H^n(M_\alpha)/\mathcal{D}) = \dim(H^n(Y)/\mathcal{D})$. Therefore $\dim(\ker(d_Y) \cap W^n) < \dim(H^n(Y)/\mathcal{D})$. On the other hand, since Y is formal, $\dim(H^n(Y)/\mathcal{D}) = \dim(\ker(d_Y) \cap W^n)$. Therefore we conclude that Y cannot be formal. $\square$

2.6. Formality of certain CW complexes. We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Without loss of generality we assume that X itself is a CW complex. Let $X' := X/X^{(2k-1)}$ be the CW complex obtained from X by collapsing its $2k-1$ -skeleton $X^{(2k-1)}$. Note that the $2k$ -skeleton of X' is a bouquet of $2k$ -spheres. Denote by $q : X \rightarrow X'$ the quotient map. Then $q^* : H^m(X'; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^m(X; \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism if $m > 2k$ and is a surjection when $m = 2k$. Also, $q_* : H_{2k}(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{2k}(X'; \mathbb{Q})$ is a monomorphism. Denote by $e_\beta, \beta \in J$, the $2k$ -cells of X which are also the $2k$ -cells of X' ; the classes $a_\beta := q^*([e_\beta]), \beta \in J$, span $H_{2k}(X')$. Let $I \subset J$ be such that the image of $\{[a_\gamma] \mid \gamma \in I\}$ under the quotient map $H_{2k}(X') \rightarrow H_{2k}(X')/Im(q_*)$ is a basis over $\mathbb{Q}$. Attach disks $D_\gamma^{2k+1} \cong D^{2k+1}$ along the inclusion maps of the closed cells $\mathbb{S}^{2k} \cong \bar{e}_\gamma \hookrightarrow X', \gamma \in I$, to obtain the CW complex $\tilde{X} = X' \cup_{\gamma \in I} D_\gamma^{2k+1}$ so as to kill the a_γ . We have the obvious map $\tilde{q} : X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ which is the composition $X \xrightarrow{q} X' \hookrightarrow \tilde{X}$. By construction $q_* : H_{2k}(X) \rightarrow H_{2k}(\tilde{X})$ is an isomorphism, and since $k > 1$, $H_m(X) \rightarrow H_m(\tilde{X})$ is an isomorphism for $m > 2k+1$. It is readily seen that $q_* :$

$H_{2k+1}(X) \cong H_{2k+1}(\tilde{X})$. Thus $\tilde{q}$ induces isomorphism in rational homology and hence $\tilde{q}^* : H^*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is an isomorphism of algebras. Since $\tilde{X}$ is simply connected, by [7, Theorem 9.11], $\tilde{X}$ is rationally equivalent to a CW complex Z which has cells only in dimensions of the form $2kl$. In fact one has a continuous map $f : Z \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ which is a rational homotopy equivalence. We shall show below that Z is formal. In view of the fact that the composition $X \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}} \tilde{X} \xleftarrow{f} Z$ induces an isomorphism in rational cohomology, we obtain that the dgca morphisms $A_{\text{PL}}(Z) \xleftarrow{A_{\text{PL}}(f)} A_{\text{PL}}(\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{A_{\text{PL}}(\tilde{q})} A_{\text{PL}}(X)$ induce isomorphism in rational cohomology. Since $H^1(X) = H^1(\tilde{X}) = H^1(Z) = 0$, we conclude that the quasi-isomorphism $A_{\text{PL}}(Z) \leftrightarrow A_{\text{PL}}(X)$ lifts to an isomorphism $\theta : \mathcal{M}_Z \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_X$. In particular X is formal since Z is.

To complete the proof it remains to show that Z is a formal space. Our hypotheses that $\dim H^{2k}(X) < \infty$ and that $H^*(X)$ is generated by $H^{2k}(X)$ implies that Z has only *finitely* many cells in each dimension. Note that since Z is connected and has only even dimensional cells, it is simply connected and has exactly one 0-dimensional cell. If $\dim Z = 2k$, then Z is a wedge of (finitely many) spheres $\mathbb{S}^{2k}$ and hence formal.

So assume that $\dim Z \geq 2k$. First we consider the case where Z is finite dimensional. In this case the proof will be by induction on the number of cells of Z .

Suppose that $H^*(Z)$ is generated as an algebra by elements of degree $2k$ and that Z is formal. Let $Y = Z \cup_{\alpha} e^n$, $n = 2kl, l > 1$. Since $H^{n-1}(Z) = 0$, we have $\eta([\alpha]) = 0$. By hypothesis $H^n(Y)$ consists entirely of decomposable elements. Hence $j^*(\tilde{u}) = u \in H^n(Y)$ is a (non-zero) decomposable element. It follows from Theorem 1.4 that Y is formal.

Finally, we suppose that Z is infinite dimensional. Consider the n -skeleton $Z^{(n)}$ of Z . As observed already, it is a finite CW complex. In view of the fact that $H^*(Z) \rightarrow H^*(Z^n)$ is surjective map of algebras, we see that $H^*(Z^{(n)})$ is generated by $H^{2k}(Z^{(n)})$. Hence by what has been established already, for each $n \geq 2$, the n -skeleton $Z^{(n)}$ of Z is formal. Set $A_{n-1} := A_{\text{PL}}(Z^{(n)})$, $A := A_{\text{PL}}(Z)$, and let $f_n : A \rightarrow A_n$ and $f_{m,n} : A_n \rightarrow A_m, m \leq n$, be the dgca morphisms induced by inclusions $Z^{(n+1)} \hookrightarrow Z$ and $Z^{(m+1)} \hookrightarrow Z^{(n+1)}$ respectively, we see that the hypotheses of Lemma 2.1 are satisfied and we conclude that Z is formal since $Z^{(n)}$ are all formal. $\square$

We remark that X and $\tilde{X}$ are not of the same rational homotopy type in general since X is not assumed to be simply connected.

When X is a CW complex with only even dimensional cells we obtain the following stronger result.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that X is a CW complex with cells only in even dimensions. Suppose that (i) Z is a finite subcomplex of X which is formal, and, (ii) the cohomology class $[e^{2n}] \in H^{2n}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ of any cell e of X which is not in Z is in the subalgebra generated by the classes of cells in the $2(n-1)$ -skeleton of Z . Then X is formal.*

Proof. Note that X is simply connected and that it has finitely many cells in each dimension. Enumerate the cells of X not in Z as $e_1, e_2, \dots$, so that $\dim e_i \leq \dim e_j$ for $i \leq j$.

Set $Z_0 := Z$ and inductively define $Z_j = Z_{j-1} \cup e_j \subset X$. Then Z_0 is formal by hypothesis and the formality of Z_{j-1} implies the formality of Z_j for $j \geq 1$ by Theorem 1.4. In particular each n -skeleton of X is formal for each $n \geq \dim Z$. Hence X itself is formal by Lemma 2.1. $\square$

We give below an example of a finite CW complex with only even dimensional cells which is not formal.

Example 2.7. (i) Let $X = \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^2$. Then X is formal. Computing the minimal model $\mathcal{M}_X = \Lambda(V)$ of X up to degree five we have the following table.

deg i	dim V^i	basis	differential
2	3	a_1, a_2, a_3	$da_i = 0$
3	6	b_1, b_2, b_3 b_{12}, b_{23}, b_{13}	$db_i = a_i^2$ $db_{ij} = a_i a_j$
4	12	$c_{ij}, i \neq j$	$dc_{ij} = b_i a_j - a_i b_{ij}$
5	12	$k_{ij}, i \neq j$	$dk_{ij} = a_j c_{ij} - a_i c_{ji} - b_i b_j$

Let $\alpha \in \pi_5(X)$ be such that $\langle k_{12}, \alpha \rangle = 1$. Let $Y = X \cup_\alpha e^6$. Then Y is not formal by Theorem 1.4 because the class $[u] \in H^6(Y; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ is indecomposable.

(ii) Consider the same space Y regarded as a subcomplex of $\tilde{Y} = \mathbb{C}P^3 \vee \mathbb{C}P^3 \vee \mathbb{C}P^3 \cup_\alpha e^6$ where we regard X as the 2-skeleton of $\tilde{X} := \mathbb{C}P^3 \vee \mathbb{C}V^3 \vee \mathbb{C}P^3$. Note that $\pi_5(\tilde{X}) = 0$ and so $[\alpha] = 0$. It follows that $\tilde{Y}$ is formal. Since Y is not formal we see that a subcomplex of a formal space with only even-dimensional cell is not necessarily formal.

Remark 2.8. (i) By a result of Halperin and Stasheff [9, Theorem 1.5] a nilpotent finite CW complex with only odd dimensional cells in positive dimensions is formal. Such a CW complex is in fact rationally equivalent to a bouquet of odd-dimensional spheres. Halperin and Stasheff point out that this result has also been obtained independently by Baus.

(ii) Papadima [19] has obtained a criterion for the formality of cell attachments. He also considers spaces X whose cohomology algebra is generated by degree k elements and remarks that formality of such spaces can be obtained under the hypothesis that $k \geq c$ (resp. $c - 1$) where c is the rational cup-length of X (resp. when X is a Poincaré duality space).

3. FORMALITY OF SCHUBERT VARIETIES AND TORUS MANIFOLDS

In this section we complete the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 3.2.

3.1. Schubert varieties in flag manifolds. Recall that the complex flag manifold $\mathbb{C}G(n_1, \dots, n_r, n_{r+1})$ is the homogeneous space $U(n)/(U(n_1) \times \dots \times U(n_{r+1}))$ where $n = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq r+1} n_j$, $r \geq 1$. It may be described as the space of all flags $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_r)$ where $A_j \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a complex vector subspace of dimension n_j and $A_j \perp A_k$ if $j \neq k$. (It is

understood that $\mathbb{C}^n$ has been endowed with the standard Hermitian innerproduct.) When $n_j = 1$ for all $j \leq r$ the manifold is referred to as the classical flag manifold and we shall denote it by $\mathbb{C}\text{Flag}_{n,r}$. Observe that we have a projection $\mathbb{C}\text{Flag}_{n,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}G_{n,r}$ onto the complex Grassmann manifold, defined as $\mathbf{A} \mapsto A_1 + \cdots + A_r \in \mathbb{C}G_{n,r}$. This is the projection of a fibre bundle with fibre the flag manifold $U(r)/U(1)^r$. The manifold $\mathbb{C}\text{Flag}_{n,r}$ is in fact the splitting space of the tautological r -plane bundle over $\mathbb{C}G_{n,r} = U(n)/(U(r) \times U(n-r))$.

One has a complex vector bundle ξ_j , $1 \leq j \leq r+1$, of rank n_j over $\mathbb{C}G(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1})$ whose fibre over the flag $\mathbf{A}$ is the vector space A_j if $j \leq r$ and is the vector space $(A_1 + \cdots + A_r)^\perp \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ when $j = r+1$. The following vector bundle isomorphism is immediate:

$$\xi_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \xi_{r+1} \cong \underline{\mathbb{C}}^n, \quad (6)$$

where $\underline{\mathbb{C}}^n$ stands for the trivial complex vector bundle of rank n over the flag manifold. Taking total Chern classes on both sides we obtain

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq r+1} c(\xi_j) = 1. \quad (7)$$

It is well-known that the *integral* cohomology algebra $H^*(\mathbb{C}G(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1}); \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the Chern classes $c_i(\xi_j)$, $1 \leq i \leq n_j$, $1 \leq j \leq r+1$, subject to the (non-homogeneous) relation (7). This relation can be rewritten as

$$c(\xi_{r+1}) = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} (c(\xi_j))^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

It follows easily that $c_i(\xi_j)$, $1 \leq i \leq n_j$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, generates the cohomology ring $H^*(\mathbb{C}G(n_1, \dots, n_r); \mathbb{Z})$. When $n_j = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$, we see that $H^*(\mathbb{C}\text{Flag}_{n,r}; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the degree 2 elements $c_1(\xi_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq r$.

It is well-known that any complex flag manifold has a cell decomposition with only even dimensional cells, which are the *Schubert cells*. (See for example [11].) The *Schubert varieties* are the corresponding closed cells.

The above discussion and observations apply in the case of *quaternionic* flag manifolds as well. Indeed, $\mathbb{H}G(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1}) = Sp(n)/(Sp(n_1) \times \cdots \times Sp(n_{r+1}))$ is the space of all quaternionic flags $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_r)$ where A_j is a left $\mathbb{H}$ -vector subspace of $\mathbb{H}^n$ such that $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} A_j = n_j$ and $A_i \perp A_j$ if $i \neq j$ with respect to the standard innerproduct on $\mathbb{H}^n$ defined as $(q, q') = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} q_j \bar{q}'_j$, $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $q' = (q'_1, \dots, q'_n) \in \mathbb{H}^n$. As in the complex case $\mathbb{H}G(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1})$ has a CW structure with cells only in dimensions which are multiples of 4. The closures of these cells are the quaternionic Schubert ‘varieties’. Denote the tautological $\mathbb{H}$ -vector bundle on $\mathbb{H}G(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1})$ by η_j , $1 \leq j \leq r+1$. One has an $\mathbb{H}$ -vector bundle isomorphism $\oplus_{1 \leq j \leq r+1} \eta_j \cong \underline{\mathbb{H}}^n$. Denoting the total *symplectic Pontrjagin class* of η_j by $e(\eta_j) = 1 + e_1(\eta_j) + \cdots + e_{n_j}(\eta_j)$ where $e_i(\eta_j) \in H^{4i}(\mathbb{H}G(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1}); \mathbb{Z})$ is the j -th symplectic Pontrjagin class, we have $\prod_{1 \leq j \leq r+1} e(\eta_j) = 1$. (Cf. [2, §9].) The integral cohomology ring is generated by $e_i(\eta_j)$, $1 \leq i \leq n_j$, $1 \leq j \leq r$. When $n_j = 1$, $j \leq r$, we see that the integral cohomology ring is generated by elements of degree 4.

Now let K be a compact connected simply connected semisimple Lie group and let T be a maximal torus. Then K/T has the structure of a complex projective variety. Indeed let G be the simply connected complex Lie group whose Lie algebra is the complexification of that of K . Then choosing a Borel subgroup B that contains T we have a diffeomorphism $K/T \cong G/B$. When $K = SU(n)$, the G/B is just the complex flag variety $\mathbb{C}\text{Flag}_{n,n-1}$. The homogeneous spaces G/B are again referred to as generalized (complex) flag varieties. They have a CW structure with cells only in even (real) dimensions arising from the Bruhat decomposition. In fact, T -fixed points in K/T are in bijection with the elements of the Weyl group $W(K, T)$. The B -orbits of the T -fixed points are the open cells of a CW structure and are called the Schubert cells. See [11]. Their closures are again called Schubert varieties. Also, the *integral* cohomology algebra $H^*(K/T; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to a quotient of the polynomial algebra in l generators, where $l = \text{rank}(K) = \dim(T)$. Each of these generators have degree two. See [1].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that X is a union of Schubert varieties in $\mathbb{F}\text{Flag}_{n,r}$. Then the inclusion-induced map $H^*(\mathbb{F}\text{Flag}_{n,r}) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ is a surjective ring homomorphism. In particular $H^*(X)$ is generated by degree $2d$ elements where $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{F}$. By Theorem 1.1, we conclude that X is formal. The same arguments also show that any union of Schubert varieties in G/B is formal. $\square$

Remark 3.1. (i) *Not all homogeneous manifolds are formal. It is shown in [16, Proposition 4.15] that $M := SU(6)/(SU(3) \times SU(3))$ is not formal by exhibiting a non-vanishing Massey triple product. Note that M is the total space of a principal circle-bundle over the complex Grassmann manifold $\mathbb{C}G_{6,3}$.*

(ii) *It is well-known that any generalized complex flag manifold G/B , or more generally G/P where P is a parabolic subgroup, is formal. For example this follows from the main theorem of [6] which says that any compact Kähler manifold is formal. The problem of determining which Schubert varieties in a generalized complex flag variety G/P are formal seems to be more difficult and will be theme of a subsequent paper.*

(iii) *The quaternionic flag manifolds $Y := \mathbb{H}G(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1})$ do not even admit almost complex structures. See [12]. Hence the main theorem of [6] is not applicable for these manifolds. However, the formality of a quaternionic flag manifold may be established using the explicit description of a Sullivan model of homogeneous spaces given in [7, Proposition 15.16] as follows. The model ΛW of Y is isomorphic to the model ΛV for the corresponding complex flag manifold $X := \mathbb{C}G(n_1, \dots, n_{r+1})$ in the following sense: for each basis element $u_i \in V$ of degree $2k_i$, there is a basis element x_i of degree $4k_i$ in W and for each generator v_j of degree $2l_j - 1$ there is basis element y_j of degree $4l_j - 1$ of W and $u_i \mapsto x_i, v_j \mapsto y_j$ defines an isomorphism $\Lambda V \rightarrow \Lambda W$ of differential algebras. It follows that the formality of ΛW is equivalent to the formality of ΛV . Since the complex flag manifold X is formal, it follows that the quaternionic flag manifold Y is also formal.*

3.2. Torus manifolds and their cohomology. We begin by recalling the definition of a torus manifold due to Hattori and Masuda [10]. We describe the cohomology algebra of

the torus manifold assuming that the torus action is locally standard and that the orbit space is a homology polytope and use it to prove Theorem 1.3.

Let M be a smooth compact connected orientable manifold of dimension $2n$ which is acted on effectively by an n -dimensional torus $T \cong (\mathbb{S}^1)^n$ with non-empty set of T -fixed points. Such a manifold (with an ‘omni-orientation’ to be defined below) is called a torus manifold. Note that the set of T -fixed points in M is finite. We assume that the action is *locally standard*, that is, M is covered by coordinate charts $\{(U, \phi)\}$ where each U is T -stable and $\phi(U) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} = (\mathbb{R}^2)^n$ is stable by the standard action of $(\mathbb{S}^1)^n$, and there exists an isomorphism $\tau : T \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^1)^n$ (depending on U) such that $\phi : U \rightarrow \phi(U)$ intertwines the action of T on U and that of $(\mathbb{S}^1)^n$ on $\phi(U)$: $\phi(t.x) = \tau(t)\phi(x)$. In view of the fact that $\phi(U)/(\mathbb{S}^1)^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}/(\mathbb{S}^1)^n \cong \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$, $M/T =: Q$ is a manifold with corners.

When M is a non-singular projective toric variety or a quasi-toric manifold, Q is a simple (convex) polytope of dimension n . (A polytope is *simple* if exactly n facets—that is, codimension-1 faces—meet at each vertex. For example a dodecahedron is a simple polytope but an octahedron is not.) In the case of a general torus manifold, Q need not be a polytope. Denote by ∂Q the set of points in Q which do not have a neighbourhood homeomorphic to an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Our assumption that the T -action is locally standard implies that $\partial Q = \cup_{1 \leq i \leq d} Q_i$ where the Q_i are of codimension one in Q . They are the *facets* of Q . A non-empty intersection of facets of Q is called a *preface* of Q . We regard Q also a preface of Q (corresponding to the intersection of the empty family of facets). We say that Q is a *homology polytope* if every preface F of Q is acyclic, that is, $\tilde{H}^*(F; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. In particular, any preface is path connected. The hypothesis that Q is a homology polytope implies that $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes in odd dimensions. (Note that, in particular, M is orientable.) Furthermore, for any facet Q_i of Q , the inverse image $p^{-1}(Q_i) =: M_i$ is again a torus manifold acted on by T/S_i with quotient the homology polytope Q_i . Here $S_i \cong \mathbb{S}^1$ is the isotropy subgroup at any point in M_i which maps to the (relative) interior of Q_i . In particular M_i is also orientable. The M_i are called the *characteristic submanifolds* of M .

An *omni-orientation* on M is an orientation of M together with the choice of an orientation of each M_i . Fixing an omni-orientation determines a choice of a parametrization of S_i in a natural way. Thus we obtain an element $v_i \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^1, T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ for each $i \leq d$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the collection of facets of Q . Define $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^1, T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ by $\lambda(Q_i) = v_i$. Then λ satisfies the condition

$$v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_n} \text{ form a } \mathbb{Z} - \text{basis of } \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^1, T) \text{ whenever } Q_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap Q_{i_n} \neq \emptyset. \quad (6)$$

The torus manifold M can be reconstructed from the pair (Q, λ) . Indeed if Q is any n -dimensional homology polytope and if $\lambda : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^1, T)$ is any map that satisfies (6) then there exists a torus manifold (M, T) with $M/T = Q$ and characteristic map λ . In particular the cohomology algebra $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ has the following description in terms of $\mathcal{F}$ and λ . Observe that one has a natural pairing $\langle -, - \rangle : \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1) \times \text{Hom}(\mathbb{S}^1, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by $\langle u, v \rangle = n \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $u \circ v(z) = z^n$, $z \in \mathbb{S}^1$.

Theorem 3.2. (Masuda and Panov [14]) *With the above notations, the cohomology algebra $H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra in indeterminates $x_1, \dots, x_d$ where $\deg(x_i) = 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ modulo the ideal generated by the following elements: (i) $x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_r}$ whenever $Q_{j_1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{j_r} = \emptyset$, (ii) $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \langle u, v_j \rangle x_j$, where $u \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$. An isomorphism is obtained by sending x_i to the cohomology class $[M_i] \in H^2(M; \mathbb{Z})$ dual to the (oriented) submanifold $M_i \subset M$. $\square$*

See also [5], [8], and [4]. Note that in (ii) above, it suffices to let u vary in a basis of $\text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{S}^1)$.

We now finish off the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: In view of the above theorem we obtain that $H^*(M)$ is generated by elements of degree 2. We conclude by Theorem 1.1 that M is formal. $\square$

Panov and Ray [18] established the formality of quasi-toric manifolds using the theory of model categories and observed that their argument also applies in the case of torus manifolds with locally standard action and orbit space a homology polytope.

It is well-known that any smooth projective toric surface with Euler characteristic 4 is isomorphic to the Hirzebruch surface F_a which is defined as the $\mathbb{CP}^1$ -bundle associated to the bundle $\mathbb{C} \oplus L_a$ over $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Here $\mathbb{C}$ denotes the trivial (complex) line bundle and L_a denotes the line bundle having degree $a \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $F_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ and it can be shown that F_1 is just the connected sum $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ where $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ denotes the same manifold $\mathbb{CP}^2$ with orientation opposite to that obtained from its complex structure. It is known that F_a is homeomorphic to F_b if $a \equiv b \pmod{2}$. In the realm of quasi-toric manifolds we also obtain $\mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$. In fact it is known that *any* quasi-toric four-dimensional manifold can be decomposed as a connected sum of copies of $\mathbb{CP}^2$, $\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ and $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. Fixing the Euler characteristic to be 4, we obtain that the only possibilities are $X := \mathbb{CP}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$, $\overline{X} := \mathbb{CP}^2 \# \mathbb{CP}^2$ and $N = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$. See [17] and [4, Example 1.20]. The torus quotients of each of these is just the square. The integral cohomology algebras of these are obtained as $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y] / \langle xy, x^3, x^2 + y^2 \rangle$, $H^*(\overline{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[x, y] / \langle xy, x^3, x^2 - y^2 \rangle$, $H^*(N; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[u, v] / \langle u^2, v^2 \rangle$ where all the indicated generators have degree 2. Now $u \mapsto x + y, v \mapsto x - y$ defines an isomorphism of the rational cohomology algebras $H^*(N) \rightarrow H^*(X)$ since $(x + y)^2 = (x - y)^2 = 0$ and $(x + y)(x - y) = 2x^2 \neq 0$. Since X and N are formal and simply connected, it follows that they have the same rational homotopy type. Again, a straightforward argument shows that there is *no* isomorphism between $H^*(N; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^*(\overline{X}; \mathbb{Q})$. It follows that N is not rational homotopically equivalent to $\overline{M}$.¹ This illustrates that *the rational homotopy type of a quasi-toric manifold M depends not only on the polytope M/T but also on its characteristic map λ .*

Remark 3.3. *The quasi-toric manifolds are a generalization of smooth complex projective toric varieties [8]. One knows from the work of Deligne, Griffiths, Morgan, and Sullivan*

¹However, setting $k := \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{-1}]$ the spaces N and $\overline{X}$ have the same k -homotopy type since $u \mapsto x + \sqrt{-1}y, v \mapsto x - \sqrt{-1}y$ defines an isomorphism $H^*(N; k) \rightarrow H^*(\overline{X}; k)$.

[6] that any compact Kähler manifold is formal over $\mathbb{R}$. It is known that, for path connected spaces of having finite type rational cohomology, formality over any field of characteristic zero implies that formality holds over $\mathbb{Q}$; see [9, Theorem 6.8]. In particular, this implies that any smooth complex projective variety is formal (over $\mathbb{Q}$). In fact it was shown in [6, §6] that formality holds for the more general class of compact complex manifolds for which the dd^c -lemma holds. It was observed in [6] this class of manifolds includes Moisëzon spaces. (A complex manifold M is a Moisëzon space if the transcendence degree over $\mathbb{C}$ of the field of meromorphic functions on M equals $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$.) In particular, it follows that any smooth complete toric variety M is formal since M contains an open space isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$ (where $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} M$) and hence Moisëzon. However, there are quasi-toric manifolds which do not even admit an almost complex structure and so their formality cannot be derived from [6]. An example of such a manifold is the connected sum of two complex projective planes, $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$.

Acknowledgement: The authors thank Aleksy Tralle for pointing out to us the paper of S. Papadima [19].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Borel, Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts. *Ann. of Math.* **57**, (1953). 115–207.
- [2] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces, *Amer. Jour. Math.* **80** (1958) 458–538.
- [3] V. M. Buchstaber and T. E. Panov, *Torus actions and their applications in topology and combinatorics*, Univ. Lect. Series **34** Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2002.
- [4] M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz, Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds, and torus actions, *Duke Math. Jour.* **62** (1991) 417–451.
- [5] V. I. Danilov, The geometry of toric varieties. (Russian) *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **33** (1978), 85–134, 247. (English translation: *Russian Math. Surveys* **33** (1978), no. 2, 97–154.)
- [6] P. Deligne, P. Griffiths, J. Morgan, D. Sullivan, Real homotopy theory of Kähler manifolds. *Invent. Math.* **29** (1975), 245–274.
- [7] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, and C. B. Thomas, *Rational Homotopy Theory* GTM **205** Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [8] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, *Ann. Math. Stud.* **131**, Princeton Univ. Press., Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [9] S. Halperin and J. Stasheff, Obstructions to homotopy equivalences. *Adv. Math.* **32** (1979), 233–279.
- [10] A. Hattori and M. Masuda, Theory of multi-fans. *Osaka J. Math.* **40** (2003), 1–68.
- [11] J. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups*. GTM-**21** Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975.
- [12] M. Markl, Note on the existence of almost complex structures on compact manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **4** (1986), 263–269.
- [13] M. Masuda, Unitary toric manifolds, multi-fans and equivariant index. *Tohoku Math. J.* **51** (1999), 237–265.
- [14] M. Masuda and T. Panov, On the cohomology of torus manifolds. *Osaka J. Math.* **43** (2006), 711–746.
- [15] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, *Ann. Math. Stud.* **76** Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1974.
- [16] J. Oprea and A. Tralle, *Symplectic manifolds with no Kähler structures*, *Lect. Notes Math.* **1661** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [17] P. Orlik and F. Raymond, Actions of the torus on 4-manifolds. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **152** (1970) 531–559.

- [18] T. E. Panov and N. Ray, Categorical aspects of toric topology. *Toric topology*, 293–322, Contemp. Math., **460**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [19] S. Papadima, The cellular structure of formal homotopy theory. *Jour. Pur. Appl. Alg.* **35** (1985), 171–184.
- [20] D. Quillen, Rational homotopy theory. *Ann. Math.* **90** (1969), 205–295.
- [21] D. Sullivan, Infinitesimal computations in topology. *Publ. Math. IHES*, **47** (1977), 269–331.

THE INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CIT CAMPUS, TARAMANI, CHENNAI 600113, INDIA

E-mail address: `prateepc@imsc.res.in`

E-mail address: `sankaran@imsc.res.in`